arXiv:1611.10111v1 [math.DS] 30 Nov 2016

The dimension of irregular set in parameter space

Lixuan Zheng†, Min Wu† and Bing Li†,*

† *School of Mathematics*
South China University of Technology
Guangzhou 510640, P.R. China
E-mails: z.lixuan@mail.scut.edu.cn, wumin@scut.edu.cn and scbingli@scut.edu.cn

Abstract. For any real number $\beta > 1$. The nth cylinder of β in the parameter space $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R} : \beta > 1\}$ is a set of real numbers in $(1, \infty)$ having the same first n digits in their β-expansion of 1, denote by $I_n^P(\beta)$. We study the quantities which describe the growth of the length of $I_n^P(\beta)$. The Huasdorff dimension of the set of given growth rate of the length of $I_n^P(\beta)$ will be determined in this paper.

Key words and phrases beta-expansion; parameter space; Hausdorff dimension

1 Introduction

Given a real number $\beta > 1$. Let $T_\beta : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ be the *β-transformation* which is defined by

$$T_\beta x = \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor \beta x \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of x. In 1957, Rényi [11] has shown that every real number x in $[0, 1]$ can be written as a finite or an infinite series by the iteration of T_β as follows,

$$x = \frac{\varepsilon_1(x, \beta)}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(x, \beta) + T_\beta^n x}{\beta^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(x, \beta)}{\beta^n}, \tag{1.1}$$

where, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\varepsilon_n(x, \beta) = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{n-1} x \rfloor.$$

And $\varepsilon_n(x, \beta)$ is said to be the *nth digit* of x. We identify x with its digit sequence

$$\varepsilon(x, \beta) := (\varepsilon_1(x, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_n(x, \beta), \ldots)$$

* Corresponding author
2010 AMS Subject Classifications: 11K55, 28A80

and call the digit sequence $\varepsilon(x,\beta)$ the β-*expansion* of x. As one of the typical example of monotone one-dimensional dynamical system, the transformation T_β has drawn much attention, see [1, 7, 9, 12], etc.

Recently, the nth cylinders of a real number in the interval $[0,1)$ and some related sets have been studied, see [2, 4]. Similarly, our work focuses on the study of cylinders of real numbers in the parameter space. Fixed $\beta > 1$, we define the nth cylinder of β in the parameter space as the family of $\beta' \in (1,+\infty)$ whose β'-expansion of 1 beginning with $(\varepsilon_1(1,\beta),\ldots,\varepsilon_n(1,\beta))$, i.e.

$$I_n^P(\beta) := \{\beta' \in (1,+\infty) : \varepsilon_1(1,\beta') = \varepsilon_1(1,\beta),\ldots,\varepsilon_n(1,\beta') = \varepsilon_n(1,\beta)\}.$$

Schmeling [12] showed that such cylinder in the parameter space is an interval. The length of the nth cylinder in the parameter space of β is denoted as $|I_n^P(\beta)|$. Without any confusion in this paper, we denote the left endpoint of $I_n^P(\beta)$ as $\underline{\beta}_n$ and the right endpoint of $I_n^P(\beta)$ as $\overline{\beta}_n$ accordingly for every $\beta > 1$.

As a matter of fact, the definition above coincides with the definition of the nth cylinder in the parameter space which first introduced by J. Schmeling [12] for an admissible block and he gave the upper bound of the length $|I_n^P(\beta)|$, see Theorem 3.7 for more details. After that, B. Li et al. studied the lower bound of $|I_n^P(\beta)|$ in [5], and gave an evaluation of it, see Theorem 3.11. Applying the above results, a simple fact of the left and right endpoint of $I_n^P(\beta)$ is soon established as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\beta \in (1,+\infty)$. Then, $\underline{\beta}_n$ is increasing to the limit β and $\overline{\beta}_n$ is decreasing to the limit β as n go to infinity.*

The above theorem indicates that the growth of the length $|I_n^P(\beta)|$ as $n \to +\infty$ relies strongly on β. We introduce and study the following quantities which describe the rate of the growth of $|I_n^P(\beta)|$. For any $\beta \in (1,+\infty)$, we define the upper and lower density of β as follows,

$$\underline{D}(\beta) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_n^P(\beta)|}{n}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\overline{D}(\beta) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_n^P(\beta)|}{n}. \tag{1.3}$$

We will prove that for all $\beta > 1$, the function $\underline{D}(\beta)$ is constantly 1, while for $\overline{D}(\beta)$, we get that $\overline{D}(\beta) \le 1 + \tau(\beta)$, where $\tau(\beta)$ is a constant depending on β and $\tau(\beta) \le 1$, for more details see Lemma 3.12. Such result is somewhat similar to that on given rate of the growth of the length on cylinders containing $x \in [0,1)$ which is studied by A. Fan and B. Wang [4]. Thus, analogously, for every $1 < \delta \le 2$, we define the δ-*irregular set* in parameter space as

$$F_\delta^P = \{\beta \in (1,+\infty) : \overline{D}(\beta) = \delta\}.$$

It is of interest to know how large the δ-irregular set is. Inspired by this, we give the Hausdorff

dimension of the δ-irregular set F_δ^P as the following theorem and we also can get the Lebesgue measure of F_δ^P after that.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $1 < \delta \leq 2$. We have*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P = \frac{2-\delta}{\delta}$$

where $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}$ *denotes the Hausdorff dimension.*

The above result implies that $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P = \frac{2-\delta}{\delta} < 1$ for all $1 < \delta \leq 2$. Therefore, we immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3 *Let $1 < \delta \leq 2$. Then $\mathcal{L}(F_\delta^P) = 0$ where $\mathcal{L}$ denote the Lebesgue measure.*

We finish this introduction by illustrating the organization of this paper. In the next section, we will devote to reviewing some of standard fact on the properties of β-expansion. In Section 3, we will estimate the lengths of nth cylinders of a fixed $\beta > 1$ in the parameter space $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R} : \beta > 1\}$. We are going to give the estimation of lower and upper density of β in this section as well. In this section, the nth recurrence time of β will play an important role. Finally, we will prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 4. For more details about cylinders in parameter space, we refer the reader to [8, 10]. For more dimensional results concerning the irregular sets, see [4, 6, 13] and references therein.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some basic facts of β-expansions and fix some notations. For more properties of β-expansions see the paper of Parry [9], Rényi [11] and the references therein.

Recall the β-expansion of 1 is given by

$$1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1(1,\beta)}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(1,\beta)}{\beta^n} + \cdots .$$

where $\varepsilon_n(1,\beta) = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{n-1} 1 \rfloor$. If above series is finite, i.e., $\varepsilon(1,\beta)$ ends with $0^\infty = 00\cdots$, then β is called *a simple Parry number*. In this case, define

$$\varepsilon^*(1,\beta) := (\varepsilon_1^*(1,\beta), \varepsilon_2^*(1,\beta), \ldots) = (\varepsilon_1(1,\beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1}(1,\beta), \varepsilon_m(1,\beta) - 1)^\infty,$$

where $\varepsilon_m(1,\beta)$ is the last digit in $\varepsilon(1,\beta)$ that is not equal to 0 and ω^∞ denotes the periodic sequence $(\omega, \omega, \omega, \ldots)$. Otherwise, then

$$\varepsilon^*(1,\beta) := (\varepsilon_1^*(1,\beta), \varepsilon_2^*(1,\beta), \ldots) = (\varepsilon_1(1,\beta), \varepsilon_2(1,\beta), \ldots).$$

In both case, the sequence $\varepsilon^*(1,\beta)$ is said to be *the infinite β-expansion of* 1 and we always have that

$$1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1^*(1,\beta)}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_n^*(1,\beta)}{\beta^n} + \cdots .$$

From the definition of T_β, it is obvious that, for an integer $n \geq 1$ and $x \in [0,1]$, the nth digit $\varepsilon_n(x,\beta)$ of x belongs to the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{0, \ldots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor - 1\}$ when β is an integer and $\mathcal{A} = \{0, \ldots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$ otherwise. What we should note here is that not all sequences $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are the β-expansion of x. This leads to the notation of *β-admissible sequence*.

A word $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$ is called *admissible* with respect to the base β if there exists an $x \in [0,1)$ such that the β-expansion of x satisfies $\varepsilon_1(x,\beta) = \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n(x,\beta) = \varepsilon_n$.

We write Σ_β^n as the collection of all β-admissible sequences with length n, i.e.,

$$\Sigma_\beta^n = \{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n : \exists\, x \in (0,1), \text{ such that } \varepsilon_j(x,\beta) = \varepsilon_j, \forall\, 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Now we give the definition of *lexicographical order* $<_{\text{lex}}$ in the symbolic space $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows:

$$(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots) <_{\text{lex}} (\varepsilon_1', \varepsilon_2', \ldots)$$

if there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that, for all $1 \leq j < k$, $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_j'$ but $\varepsilon_k < \varepsilon_k'$. The symbol $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ means $=$ or $<_{\text{lex}}$. Moreover, we extend the lexicographical order to words: let $m, n \geq 1$, for two words $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$, $\omega' = (\omega_1', \ldots, \omega_m')$ of nonnegative integers,

$$\omega <_{\text{lex}} \omega' \Longleftrightarrow (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, 0^\infty) <_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1', \ldots, \omega_m', 0^\infty).$$

The characterization and properties of the admissibility of a sequence which relies heavily on the infinite β-expansion of 1 are given by Parry [9] as the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Parry [9]) *Given $\beta > 1$, the followings hold:*

(1) For every $n \geq 1$,

$$\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n \Longleftrightarrow \sigma^i\omega \leq_{\text{lex}} (\varepsilon_1^*(1,\beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-i}^*(1,\beta)) \ \textit{for all}\ i \geq 1,$$

where σ is the shift operator such that $\sigma\omega = (\omega_2, \omega_3, \ldots)$.

(2) For every $i \geq 1$, $\sigma^i\varepsilon^*(1,\beta) \leq_{\text{lex}} \varepsilon^*(1,\beta)$.

(3) The function $\beta \mapsto \varepsilon^*(1,\beta)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\beta > 1$. Therefore, if $1 < \beta_1 < \beta_2$, for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\Sigma_{\beta_1}^n \subset \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n.$$

From the above result, we can see that the β-expansion of the unit 1 serves as an important role in β-expansion. Consequently, we now give a characterization of β-expansion of the unit 1 for some β which was introduced by Parry [9]. Before doing this, let us first give the definition of a *self-admissible word* and *self-admissible sequence*.

Definition 2.2 *A word $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ is said to be self-admissible if for every $1 \leq i < n$,*

$$\sigma^i\omega \leq_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-i}).$$

An infinite digit sequence $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots)$ is called self-admissible if $\sigma^i\omega \leq_{\text{lex}} \omega$ for each $i \geq 1$.

For the sake of convenience, we denote Λ_n as the collection of all self-admissible words with length n, i.e.,

$$\Lambda_n = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n) : \text{ for every } 1 \le i < n,\ \sigma^i\omega \le_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-i})\}.$$

Now we give the characterization of the β-expansion of 1 as follows.

Theorem 2.3 (Parry [9]) *A finite word or an infinite sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n, \ldots)$ is the expansion of 1 for some $\beta > 1$ if and only if it is self-admissible.*

The following result given by Rényi shows that dynamical system $([0,1],\ T_\beta)$ admits $\log\beta$ as its topological entropy. The symbol $\sharp$ represents the number of elements of a finite set in the rest of this paper.

Theorem 2.4 (Rényi [11]) *Given $\beta > 1$. For any $n \ge 1$,*

$$\beta^n \le \sharp\Sigma_\beta^n \le \beta^{n+1}/(\beta - 1).$$

3 Cylinders of β in the parameter space

From this section to the end of this paper, we consider the nth cylinders of some fixed $\beta > 1$ in parameter space $(1, +\infty)$. We will give an evaluation of the lower and upper bound of the length of the nth cylinder in the parameter space of β, which is closely related to the notion of nth recurrence time of β.

Definition 3.1 *Let $n \ge 1$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$, we can define the cylinder of ω in the parameter space as*

$$I_n^P(\omega) = \{\beta \in (1, +\infty) : \varepsilon_1(1,\beta) = \omega_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n(1,\beta) = \omega_n\},$$

i.e., the set of β whose β-expasion of 1 begins with the common prefix $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$. The length of the cylinder in the parameter space is denoted as $|I_n^P(\omega)|$. For convenience, we also denote the left endpoint of $I_n^P(\omega)$ as $\underline{\beta}(\omega)$ and the right endpoint of $I_n^P(\omega)$ as $\overline{\beta}(\omega)$.

3.1 Recurrence time of β

Definition 3.2 *Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$, we can define the recurrence time $\tau(\omega)$ of ω as*

$$\tau(\omega) := \inf\{1 \le k < n : \sigma^k(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-k})\}. \tag{3.4}$$

If such an integer k does not exist, we set $\tau(\omega) = n$, and the word ω is called non-recurrent word.

The following result is immediate by the above definition.

Remark 1 *(1) Denote*

$$t(\omega) := n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\tau(\omega)} \right\rfloor \tau(\omega). \tag{3.5}$$

From the definition of the recurrence time $\tau(\omega)$, we can easily obtain that:

$$(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) = \left((\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{\tau(\omega)})^{\lfloor \frac{n}{\tau(\omega)} \rfloor}, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)} \right),$$

where $\omega^k = (\underbrace{\omega, \ldots, \omega}_{k})$ for every $k \geq 1$.

(2) If $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ is non-recurrent, then the word $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, 0^k)$ is non-recurrent for all $k \geq 1$.

Now we give a property of the recurrence time as follow.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$, if $\tau(\omega) = k$, then the word $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k)$ is non-recurrent.*

Proof. When $k = n$, we easily get that the result is ture.

When $k < n$, then the definition of $\tau(\omega)$ provides that for all $1 \leq i < k$, we have

$$\sigma^i \omega = (\omega_{i+1}, \ldots, \omega_k, (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - 1}, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor k}) <_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{k-i}, \omega_{k-i+1}, \ldots, \omega_{n-i}).$$

Moreover, the self-admissibility of ω gives that

$$((\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - 1}, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor k}) \geq_{\text{lex}} (\omega_{k-i+1}, \ldots, \omega_{n-i}).$$

Thus, we have $(\omega_{i+1}, \ldots, \omega_k) <_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{k-i})$ for each $1 \leq i < k$, that is, $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k)$ is non-recurrent. □

We write ω^+ as the next word of ω in Λ_n in the sense of lexicographical order, the definition of recurrence time and the criterion of self-admissibility of a sequence give the following result.

Lemma 3.4 *(1) Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$ be non-recurrent, then $\omega^+ = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n + 1)$.*

(2) Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$ with $\tau(\omega) = k < n$, then $\omega^+ = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k + 1, 0^{n-k})$.

Proof. (1) It is obvious that for any word $\omega' \in \Lambda_n$ with $\omega <_{\text{lex}} \omega'$, we have $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n + 1) \leq_{\text{lex}} \omega'$. Thus, we only need to show that $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n + 1)$ is self-admissible.

In fact, since ω is a non-recurrent word, then for every $1 \leq k < n$, we have

$$\sigma^k(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \neq (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-k}),$$

moreover, the self-admissibility of ω ensures that

$$\sigma^k(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \leq_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-k}),$$

so $\sigma^k(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) <_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-k})$ which implies $\sigma^k(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n + 1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-k})$.

(2) The recurrence time $\tau(\omega) = k < n$ of ω implies that $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k)$ is non-recurrent (Lemma 3.3), then by (1), we get that $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k + 1)$ is self-admissible, and $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k + 1, 0^{n-k})$ is self-admissible as well.

We claim that for every $\omega' \in \Lambda_n$ with $\omega <_{\text{lex}} \omega'$, we have $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k + 1, 0^{n-k}) \leq_{\text{lex}} \omega'$. In fact, assume that the claim is false, then there exists $u \in \Lambda_n$, such that

$$\omega <_{\text{lex}} u <_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k + 1, 0^{n-k}).$$

Write $u = (\omega'_1, \ldots, \omega'_n)$, then there exists an integer k_0 with $k < k_0 \leq n$, such that $\omega_j = \omega'_j$ for every $0 \leq j < k_0$ but $\omega_{k_0} < \omega'_{k_0}$. Let $k_0 = \ell k + t$, where $\ell \geq 1$, $0 < t \leq k$, the definition of $\tau(\omega) = k$ implies that

$$\sigma^{\ell k}(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{k_0}) = (\omega_{\ell k+1}, \ldots, \omega_{\ell k+t-1}, \omega_{\ell k+t}) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t-1}, \omega_t) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t-1}, \omega_{k_0}),$$

and

$$\sigma^{\ell k}(\omega'_1, \ldots, \omega'_{k_0}) = (\omega'_{\ell k+1}, \ldots, \omega'_{\ell k+t-1}, \omega'_{k_0}) = (\omega_{\ell k+1}, \ldots, \omega_{\ell k+t-1}, \omega'_{k_0}) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t-1}, \omega'_{k_0}).$$

The self-admissibility of ω'_0 shows that

$$\sigma^{\ell k}(\omega'_1, \ldots, \omega'_{k_0}) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t-1}, \omega'_{k_0}) \leq_{\text{lex}} (\omega'_1, \ldots, \omega'_t) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_t) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t-1}, \omega_{k_0}).$$

The second equality is ensured by $t \leq k < k_0$. A contraction with $\omega_{k_0} < \omega'_{k_0}$. □

Applying the definition of the recurrence time of $\omega \in \Lambda_n$, the *nth recurrence time of* β can be defined as

$$\tau_n(\beta) := \tau(\varepsilon_1(1, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_n(1, \beta)). \tag{3.6}$$

Now we give a simple fact of the nth recurrence time $\tau_n(\beta)$.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\beta > 1$, then we have $\tau_n(\beta)$ is increasing to ∞ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. On the one hand, we show that $\tau_n(\beta)$ is increasing as n increase. If there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $\tau_{n_0+1}(\beta) < \tau_{n_0}(\beta)$, by the definition of recurrence time, we have

$$\sigma^{\tau_{n_0+1}(\beta)}(\varepsilon_1(1, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_0+1}(1, \beta)) = \left(\varepsilon_1(1, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_0+1-\tau_{n_0+1}(\beta)}(1, \beta)\right).$$

This means

$$\sigma^{\tau_{n_0+1}(\beta)}(\varepsilon_1(1, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_0}(1, \beta)) = \left(\varepsilon_1(1, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_0-\tau_{n_0+1}(\beta)}(1, \beta)\right).$$

Which contradicts the definition of $\tau_{n_0}(\beta)$.

On the other hand, we prove that $\tau_n(\beta) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. If $\tau_n(\beta) < \infty$, then there exists $M \geq 1$ such that $\tau_n \leq M$ for every $n \geq 1$. Since $\tau_n(\beta)$ is increasing by the above discussion, so the

maximum of $\tau_n(\beta)$ exists, denote $\max\{\tau_n(\beta)\} = N$. This illustrates that the infinite β-expansion of 1 equals to $(\varepsilon_1(1,\beta),\ldots,\varepsilon_N(1,\beta))^\infty$ in other words, ones get that

$$\varepsilon(1,\beta) = (\varepsilon_1(1,\beta),\ldots,\varepsilon_N(1,\beta)+1,0^\infty).$$

A contradiction. □

In addition, a sufficient condition to ensure that a word is non-recurrent is given by B. Li et al. [5] which will be of importance to estimate the lower density of β in parameter space.

Lemma 3.6 *Suppose that $(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{n-1},\omega_n)$ and $(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{n-1},\omega_n')$ are both self-admissible and $0 \le \omega_n < \omega_n'$. Then $\tau(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) = n$.*

3.2 The lengths of the nth cylinder of β in the parameter space

We first recall a result of Schemling [12](see also [5, 7]) which gives the concrete expression of the left endpoints $\underline{\beta}(\omega)$ and right endpoints $\overline{\beta}(\omega)$ of the cylinder $I_n^P(\omega)$ and gives an evaluation of the upper bound of $|I_n^P(\omega)|$.

Lemma 3.7 (Schemling[12]) *Let $\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$, $\underline{\beta}(\omega)$ is given as the only solution in $[1,+\infty)$ of the equation*

$$1 = \frac{\omega_1}{x} + \cdots + \frac{\omega_n}{x^n}.$$

$\overline{\beta}(\omega)$ is the limit of the unique solution $\{\omega_{n+k}\}_{k\ge 0}$ in $(1,+\infty)$ of the equation

$$1 = \frac{\omega_1}{x} + \cdots + \frac{\omega_n}{x^n} + \frac{\omega_{n+1}}{x^{n+1}} + \cdots + \frac{\omega_{n+k}}{x^{n+k}},\ k \ge 0,$$

where $(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n,\omega_{n+1},\ldots,\omega_{n+k})$ is the maximal word of length $n+k$ beginning with $(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n)$ in the sense of lexicographical order. If $\underline{\beta}(\omega) > 1$, then the set $I_n^P(\omega)$ is a half open interval $[\underline{\beta}(\omega),\overline{\beta}(\omega))$; if $\underline{\beta}(\omega) = 1$, then $I_n^P(\beta)$ is an open interval $(1,\overline{\beta}(\omega))$. Moreover,

$$|I_n^P(\omega)| \le \overline{\beta}(\omega)^{-n+1}.$$

The following result introduced by B. Li et al. [5] and Lemma 3.4 give the distribution of $I_n^P(\omega)$ (see Corollary 3.9) for every $\omega \in \Lambda_n$ which implies that $I_n^P(\omega) \cap I_n^P(\omega') = \emptyset$ for different $\omega, \omega' \in \Lambda_n$.

Lemma 3.8 (B. Li et al. [5]) *Let $\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$ with $\tau(\omega) = k$. Then the $\overline{\beta}(\omega)$-expansion of 1 is given as*

$$\varepsilon(1,\overline{\beta}(\omega)) = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_k+1,0^\infty).$$

Corollary 3.9 *Let $\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$. Write ω^+ as the next word of ω in Λ_n, then we have $\underline{\beta}(\omega^+) = \overline{\beta}(\omega)$.*

Proof. It is a direct result from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.8 . □

Now we discuss the property of the solutions of a self-admissible word as the following result. For any $n \geq 1$ and self-admissible word $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \Lambda_n$, let $\beta(\omega) \geq 1$ be the unique positive solution of the equation $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\omega_i}{x^i}$. We soon establish the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10 *Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ and $\omega' = (\omega'_1, \ldots, \omega'_n)$ be self-admissible, if $\omega <_{\text{lex}} \omega'$, then $\beta(\omega) < \beta(\omega')$.*

Proof. If $\omega <_{\text{lex}} \omega'$, then

$$1 = \frac{\omega_1}{\beta(\omega)} + \cdots + \frac{\omega_n}{\beta(\omega)^n} = \frac{\omega'_1}{\beta(\omega')} + \cdots + \frac{\omega'_n}{\beta(\omega')^n} > \frac{\omega_1}{\beta(\omega')} + \cdots + \frac{\omega_n}{\beta(\omega')^n}.$$

Therefore, $\beta(\omega) < \beta(\omega')$. □

From what has been discussed above, we now give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (1) We first prove that $\underline{\beta}_n$ is increasing as n increases and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \underline{\beta}_n = \beta$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $\underline{\beta}_n$ is the only solution in $[1, +\infty)$ of the equation

$$1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1(1,\beta)}{x} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(1,\beta)}{x^n}.$$

Since $(\varepsilon_1(1,\beta), \cdots, \varepsilon_n(1,\beta))$ is increasing as n increases, therefore, we obtain that $\underline{\beta}_n$ is increasing as $n \to \infty$ by Lemma 3.10, and since

$$1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1(1,\beta)}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(1,\beta)}{\beta^n} + \cdots,$$

we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \underline{\beta}_n = \beta$.

(2) Now we show that $\overline{\beta}_n$ is decreasing when n increases and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{\beta}_n = \beta$. By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\varepsilon(1, \overline{\beta}_n) = (\varepsilon_1(1,\beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{\tau_n}(1,\beta) + 1, 0^\infty)$$

and

$$\varepsilon(1, \overline{\beta}_{n+1}) = (\varepsilon_1(1,\beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{\tau_{n+1}}(1,\beta) + 1, 0^\infty).$$

Besides, Lemma 3.5 gives $\tau_n(\beta) \leq \tau_{n+1}(\beta)$, that is, $\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1, \overline{\beta}_n) > \varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1, \overline{\beta}_{n+1})$ when $\tau_n(\beta) < \tau_{n+1}(\beta)$ and $\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1, \overline{\beta}_n) = \varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1, \overline{\beta}_{n+1})$ when $\tau_n(\beta) = \tau_{n+1}(\beta)$. So we have $\varepsilon(1, \overline{\beta}_n) \geq \varepsilon(1, \overline{\beta}_{n+1})$. It follows from Lemma 3.10 that $\overline{\beta}_n \geq \overline{\beta}_{n+1}$, that is, $\overline{\beta}_n$ is decreasing when n increases.

Note that $|I_n^P(\beta)| \leq \overline{\beta}_n^{-n+1} \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{\beta}_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \underline{\beta}_n = \beta.$$

□

Fix $\beta > 1$. Let

$$t_n(\beta) := n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\tau_n(\beta)} \right\rfloor \tau_n(\beta). \tag{3.7}$$

where $\tau_n(\beta)$ is the nth recurrence time of β defined as (3.6). The length of nth cylinders in parameter space is also considered by B. Li et al. [5], the following result provides the estimation of the the lower bound of $|I_n^P(\beta)|$.

Lemma 3.11 (B. Li et al. [5]) *Let $\beta > 1$. Then, we have*

$$|I_n^P(\beta)| \geq \begin{cases} C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}, & \text{when } t_n(\beta) = 0; \\ C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\left(\dfrac{\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+1}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \dfrac{\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta)}}\right), & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$C_n(\beta) := \frac{(\underline{\beta}_n - 1)^2}{\underline{\beta}_n}. \tag{3.8}$$

3.3 The upper and lower density in parameter space

Recall the definition of $\tau_n(\beta)$(3.6) and $t_n(\beta)$(3.7). We denote $\tau(\beta) := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta)}{n}$. Now we give the evaluation of $\overline{D}(\beta)$ and $\underline{D}(\beta)$ as follows,

Lemma 3.12 *Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number. Then,*

$$\underline{D}(\beta) = 1$$

and

$$\overline{D}(\beta) \leq 1 + \tau(\beta).$$

Proof.(1) By Lemma 3.7, we have $|I_n^P(\beta)| \leq \overline{\beta}_n^{-n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Consequently, $\underline{D}(\beta) \geq 1$ by Theorem 1.1.

Now we find a sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ such that $\underline{D}(\beta) = 1$. Since $\tau_n(\beta) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ (Lemma 3.5). We can choose an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ such that $\tau_{n_k} = n_k$.

In fact, assume that $\varepsilon(1,\beta) = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n, \ldots)$, let $n_1 = 1$, and after that we recursively choose

$$n_k = \inf\{n : \tau(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) > \tau_{n_{k-1}}\}.$$

Then for every $k \geq 1$, let $n_k = \ell_k \tau_{n_{k-1}} + t_{n_k}$ where $0 < t_{n_k} \leq \tau_{n_{k-1}}$, so by the definition of τ_{n_k}, we have

$$(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_k-1}) = \left((\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\tau_{n_{k-1}}})^{\ell_k}, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{t_{n_k}-1}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Furthermore, the self-admissibility of $\varepsilon(1,\beta)$ gives

$$(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_k}) \leq_{\text{lex}} \left((\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\tau_{n_{k-1}}})^{\ell_k}, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{t_{n_k}-1}, \varepsilon_{t_{n_k}}\right).$$

But the definition of n_k provides that

$$(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{n_k}) \neq \left((\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{\tau_{n_{k-1}}})^{\ell_k},\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{t_{n_k}-1},\varepsilon_{t_{n_k}}\right).$$

These mean that

$$(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{n_k}) <_{\text{lex}} \left((\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{\tau_{n_{k-1}}})^{\ell_k},\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{t_{n_k}-1},\varepsilon_{t_{n_k}}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), ones can get from Lemma 3.6 that $\tau_{n_k} = n_k$. So Lemma 3.11 gives $|I^P_{n_k}(\beta)| \geq C_{n_k}(\beta)\overline{\beta}^{-n_k}_{n_k}$, where $C_n(\beta)$ is given as (3.8), that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{D}(\beta) &\leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I^P_{n_k}(\beta)|}{n_k} \\ &\leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta (C_{n_k}(\beta)\overline{\beta}^{-n_k}_{n_k})}{n_k} \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is given by Theorem 1.1. Thus, for every $\beta > 1$, $\underline{D}(\beta) = 1$.

(2) By Lemma 3.11, we have

$$|I^P_n(\beta)| \geq C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}^{-n}_n \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+1}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}^{\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta)}_n}\right),$$

where $C_n(\beta)$ is defined as (3.8). Thus, we deduce that, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$|I^P_n(\beta)| \geq C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}^{-n-\tau_n(\beta)+t_n(\beta)}_n.$$

Therefore, $\overline{D}(\beta) \leq 1 + \tau(\beta)$ by Theorem 1.1. □

The following lemma gives an example of β which has the property of $\underline{D}(\beta) = \overline{D}(\beta) = 1$.

Lemma 3.13 *If β satisfies that there exits $k \geq 1$ such that for each $n \geq k$, $\tau_n(\beta) = n$, then we have $\underline{D}(\beta) = \overline{D}(\beta) = 1$.*

Proof. Since for all $n \geq k$, we have $\tau_n(\beta) = n$. It therefore follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.11 that

$$\frac{(\underline{\beta}_n - 1)^2}{\underline{\beta}_n}\overline{\beta}^{-n}_n \leq |I^P_n(\beta)| \leq \overline{\beta}^{-n+1}_n,$$

for every $n \geq k$ which implies that $\underline{D}(\beta) = \overline{D}(\beta) = 1$ by Theorem 1.1. □

4 Dimension of δ-irregular cylinders

4.1 Evaluations of the cardinality of some related sets

We first introduce some notations which play an important role in estimating upper bound of $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} I_\delta^P$.

Let $\beta_2 > \beta_1 > 1$, for every $n \geq 1$, we write

$$\Lambda_n(\beta_1,\beta_2) := \{\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) \in \Lambda_n : \exists\ \beta \in (\beta_1,\beta_2],\ s.t.\ \varepsilon_1(1,\beta) = \omega_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n(1,\beta) = \omega_n\}.$$

Fixed $1 \leq k < n$, for every $\omega \in \Lambda_n(\beta_1,\beta_2)$, let $t(\omega)$ be defined as (3.5). Define

$$\Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1,\beta_2) := \{\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) \in \Lambda_n(\beta_1,\beta_2) : \omega_{t(\omega)+1} = \cdots = \omega_{t(\omega)+k} = 0\}. \tag{4.11}$$

Now we provide an evaluation of the cardinality of the set $\Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1,\beta_2)$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1,\beta_2)$ be a nonempty set defined above. Then we have*

$$\sharp\Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1,\beta_2) \leq \frac{\beta_2^{n-k+1}}{\beta_2 - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $T : \Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1,\beta_2) \to \Sigma_{\beta_2}^{n-k}$ where

$$T(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{t(\omega)},0^k,\omega_{t(\omega)+k+1},\ldots,\omega_n) = (0^{t(\omega)},\omega_{t(\omega)+k+1},\ldots,\omega_n).$$

By the self-admissibility of $(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{t(\omega)},0^k,\omega_{t(\omega)+k+1},\ldots,\omega_n)$ and the monotonicity of $\varepsilon(1,\beta)$ with respect to β (Theorem 2.1(3)), we have $(0^{t(\omega)},\omega_{t(\omega)+k+1},\ldots,\omega_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^{n-k}$ for every $\omega \in \Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1,\beta_2)$. Therefore, T is well defined.

Moreover, we claim that T is injective, that is, for each $\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n),\ \omega' = (\omega_1',\ldots,\omega_n') \in \Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1,\beta_2)$, if $T(\omega) = T(\omega')$, we have $\omega = \omega'$. To prove $\omega = \omega'$, we first prove that $t(\omega) = t(\omega')$, if it is not true, without loss of generality, assume that $t(\omega) > t(\omega')$, write

$$\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{t(\omega)},0^k,\omega_{t(\omega)+k+1},\ldots,\omega_n),$$

then on the one hand, $T(\omega) = T(\omega')$ provides that

$$(\omega_{n-t(\omega')+1}',\ldots,\omega_n') = (\omega_{n-t(\omega')+1},\ldots,\omega_n). \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $t(\omega)$ and $t(\omega')$, we have

$$(\omega_{n-t(\omega')+1}',\ldots,\omega_n') = (\omega_1',\ldots,\omega_{t(\omega')}'), \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$(\omega_{n-t(\omega')+1},\ldots,\omega_n) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1},\ldots,\omega_{t(\omega)}). \tag{4.14}$$

Combination of (4.12),(4.13) and (4.14) implies that

$$\omega' = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}, 0^k, 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}, \omega_{t(\omega)+k+1}, \ldots, \omega_n).$$

Now we observe ω', by the self-admissibility of ω', we get that

$$(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}) \leq_{\text{lex}} (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}, 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}),$$

but the self-admissibility of ω implies that

$$(\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}, 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}) \leq_{\text{lex}} (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}),$$

that is,

$$(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}, 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}).$$

Thus, ones have

$$(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega')}) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)})$$

and

$$(\omega_{t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}) = 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}.$$

If $t(\omega) - t(\omega') \geq t(\omega')$, i.e., $t(\omega) \geq 2t(\omega')$, then we have $\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1} = 0$ which contradicts that $\omega_1 = \omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1} \geq 1$, if $t(\omega) < 2t(\omega')$ we get

$$(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega')}) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega)}) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega')}, 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}).$$

That is,

$$(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2t(\omega')-t(\omega)}) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega')})$$

and

$$(\omega_{2t(\omega')-t(\omega)+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega')}) = 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}.$$

If $t(\omega)-t(\omega') \geq 2t(\omega')-t(\omega)$, i.e., $2t(\omega) \geq 3t(\omega')$, then we have $\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1} = 0$ which contradicts that $\omega_1 = \omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1} \geq 1$, if $2t(\omega) < 3t(\omega')$, we get

$$(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2t(\omega')-t(\omega)}) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{t(\omega')}) = (\omega_{t(\omega)-t(\omega')+1}, \ldots, \omega_{2t(\omega')-t(\omega)}, 0^{t(\omega)-t(\omega')}).$$

By recursion, the existence of ω' should be ensured by $nt(\omega) < (n+1)t(\omega')$ for every $n \geq 1$. But the assumption that $t(\omega) > t(\omega')$ indicates that $nt(\omega) \geq (n+1)t(\omega')$ when $n \geq \left\lfloor \frac{t(\omega')}{t(\omega)-t(\omega')} \right\rfloor + 1$. A contraction. As a consequence, $t(\omega) = t(\omega')$. Then we can easily get that $\omega = \omega'$ by $T(\omega) = T(\omega')$. Thus,

$$\sharp\Lambda_{n,k}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq \sharp\Sigma_{\beta_2}^{n-k} \leq \frac{\beta_2^{n-k+1}}{\beta_2 - 1}.$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 2.4. □

4.2 Upper bound of $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P$

For every $\beta_2 > \beta_1 > 1$ and $1 < \delta \le 2$. Let $F_\delta^P(\beta_1,\beta_2) := \{\beta \in (\beta_1,\beta_2] : \overline{D}(\beta) = \delta\}$. Now we estimate the upper bound of $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P(\beta_1,\beta_2)$.

For every $1 < \eta < \delta$, we have

$$F_\delta^P(\beta_1,\beta_2) \subseteq \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty}\{\beta \in (\beta_1,\beta_2] : |I_n^P(\beta)| \le \beta^{-n\eta}\} = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty}\bigcup_{\omega\in\Omega_n(\beta_1,\beta_2)} I_n^P(\omega)$$

where

$$\Omega_n(\beta_1,\beta_2) = \{\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) \in \Lambda_n : \exists\, \beta \in (\beta_1,\beta_2],\ s.t.\ \varepsilon_1(1,\beta) = \omega_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n(1,\beta) = \omega_n \text{ and } |I_n^P(\omega)| \le \beta^{-n\eta}\}.$$

At the moment, we estimate the number of $\Omega_n(\beta_1,\beta_2)$. For every $\omega \in \Omega_n(\beta_1,\beta_2)$, by Lemma 3.11, there exists $\beta \in (\beta_1,\beta_2]$ which verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{-n\eta} &\ge |I_n^P(\omega)| \\ &\ge \frac{(\underline{\beta}_n-1)^2}{\underline{\beta}_n}\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\left(\frac{\omega_{t_n(\beta)+1}}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\omega_{\tau_n(\beta)+1}}{\overline{\beta}_n^{\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta)}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We claim that for every $\omega \in \Omega_n(\beta_1,\beta_2)$, there exists N_1, for all $n \ge N_1$, we have

$$\omega_{t_n(\beta)+1} = \cdots = \omega_{t_n(\beta)+k_n(\beta)} = 0$$

where $k_n(\beta) = \lfloor n\eta \log_{\overline{\beta}_n}\beta\rfloor - n + \left\lfloor \log_{\overline{\beta}_n}\frac{(\underline{\beta}_n-1)^2}{\underline{\beta}_n}\right\rfloor$. If the claim is not true, then for every $N \ge 1$, there exists $n > N$, such that

$$\frac{(\underline{\beta}_n-1)^2}{\underline{\beta}_n}\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\left(\frac{\omega_{t_n(\beta)+1}}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\omega_{\tau_n(\beta)}+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta)}}\right) > \frac{(\underline{\beta}_n-1)^2}{\underline{\beta}_n}\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\frac{1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{k_n}} = \beta^{-n\eta}.$$

A contradiction with (4.15).

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\overline{\beta}_n = \lim_{n\to\infty}\underline{\beta}_n = \beta$, there exists N_2, such that for every $n \ge N_2$, we have $\underline{\beta}_n \ge \beta_1$ and $\overline{\beta}_n \le \beta_2$. We can choose another large enough integer N_2 such that for every $n \ge N_2$, we have $\lfloor n\eta\log_{\overline{\beta}_n}\beta\rfloor \ge \lfloor n\eta\rfloor \ge n - \left\lfloor \log_{\beta_2}\frac{(\beta_1-1)^2}{\beta_1}\right\rfloor + 1$.

Let $u_n = \lfloor n\eta\rfloor - n + \left\lfloor \log_{\beta_2}\frac{(\beta_1-1)^2}{\beta_1}\right\rfloor$ and $M \ge \max\{N_1,N_2\}$. The above discussion indicates that $1 \le u_n \le k_n(\beta)$ for every $n \ge M$. Therefore, for every $n \ge N$, we have $\Omega_n(\beta_1,\beta_2) \subset \Lambda_{n,u_n}(\beta_1,\beta_2)$ where $\Lambda_{n,u_n}(\beta_1,\beta_2)$ is defined as (4.11). Then by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\sharp\Omega_n(\beta_1,\beta_2) \le \sharp\Lambda_{n,u_n}(\beta_1,\beta_2) \le (\beta_2-1)\beta_2^{n-u_n+1} = \frac{\beta_1}{(\beta_1-1)^2(\beta_2-1)}\beta_2^{2n-\lfloor n\eta\rfloor+1}.$$

Therefore, we have for any $s > \frac{(2-\eta)\log_{\beta_1}\beta_2}{\eta} \to \frac{(2-\delta)\log_{\beta_1}\beta_2}{\delta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^s\left(F_\delta^P(\beta_1,\beta_2)\right) &\leq \liminf_{N\to\infty}\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\beta_1^{-n\eta s}\frac{\beta_1}{(\beta_1-1)^2(\beta_2-1)}\beta_2^{2n-\lfloor n\eta\rfloor+1}\\ &= \liminf_{N\to\infty}\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\frac{\beta_1}{(\beta_1-1)^2(\beta_2-1)}\beta_1^{-n\eta s+(2n-\lfloor n\eta\rfloor+1)\log_{\beta_1}\beta_2}\\ &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

So $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P(\beta_1,\beta_2) \leq \frac{(2-\delta)\log_{\beta_1}\beta_2}{\delta}$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we partition the parameter space $(1,+\infty)$ into $\{(a_n, a_{n+1}] : -\infty < n < \infty\}$ with $\frac{\log a_{n+1}}{\log a_n} < 1+\varepsilon$ and $a_n \to 1$ as $n \to -\infty$. Then

$$F_\delta^P = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\delta^P(a_n, a_{n+1}).$$

By the σ-stability of the Huasdorff dimension, it suffices to get that $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P \leq \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta}$.

4.3 Lower bound of $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P$

This section devotes to estimating the lower bound of $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} F_\delta^P$. We only need to find out a Cantor subset E being contained in F_δ^P satisfies $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E \geq \frac{2-\delta}{\delta}$. A classical technique of estimating the lower bound is applying the following Mass distribution principle.

Lemma 4.2 (Falconer [3]) *Let E be a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and μ be a Borel measure with support E. Suppose for any $x \in E$,*

$$\liminf_{r\to 0}\frac{\log\mu(B(x,r))}{\log r} \geq s.$$

Then we have $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E \geq s$.

Typically, we divide four steps to complete our result: we first construct a Cantor subset E of F_δ^P and then we define a measures or mass distribution μ with $\mu(E) > 0$. After that, we estimate the *Hölder* exponent of the measure μ. Finally, we obtain our result by using the above theorem.

4.3.1 Cantor subset of F_δ^P

Fix $0 < \zeta < \frac{2-\delta}{2\delta}$, let $N > 1$ be an integer. Given $n \geq 1$, let

$$U_n = \{u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) : u_i \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\},\ \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

which is a set with $(N-1)^n$ elements.

Now we recursively construct the Cantor subset E of F_δ^P.

Step I The 0th generation of E

Let

$$\varepsilon^{(0)} = (N, N) \text{ and } E_0 = \{\varepsilon^{(0)}\}.$$

We define the 0th generation of E as $\mathcal{E}_0 = \left\{I_2^P(\varepsilon^{(0)}) : \varepsilon^{(0)} \in E_0\right\}$. For the sake of simplicity, the family of E_0 is also said to be the 0th generation of E without any ambiguity in the remaining parts of this paper.

Step II The 1th generation of E

Let $m_0 = 2$ be the length of $\varepsilon^{(0)} \in E_0$. We choose an integer n_1 which is large enough such that $n_1 \gg m_0$. Denote $a_1 = n_1 - 2$. We collect a family of self-admissible and non-recurrent words beginning with $\varepsilon^{(0)}$:

$$A(\varepsilon^{(0)}) = \left\{(\varepsilon^{(0)}, u) : u \in U_{a_1}\right\}.$$

The self-admissibility and non-recurrence of the elements in $A(\varepsilon^{(0)})$ are guaranteed by the criterion of a self-admissible and non-recurrent word.

After that, let

$$b_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\zeta}\frac{\delta - 1}{\delta} n_1 \right\rfloor + 1 \geq 1,$$

and

$$c_1 = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\frac{2 - \delta}{\delta} - 2\right) n_1 \right\rfloor + 1 \geq 1.$$

The second inequality (4.17) is ensured by the choice of ζ, then we give the first generation of E:

$$E_1 = \left\{\varepsilon^{(1)} = (v, 0^{b_1 - 1}, N, u', v, 0^{b_1 - 1}) : v \in A(\varepsilon^{(0)}), u' \in U_{c_1}\right\}.$$

At this moment, we give some simple observation on the elements in E_1.

Remark 2 *(1) For every $\omega \in E_1$, by the criterion of self-admissibility and the choice of elements in U_n, we easily get that ω is self-admissible.*
(2) For every $\omega \in E_1$, recall the definition of $\tau(\omega)$ (3.4) and $t(\omega)$ (3.5), we obtain that $\tau(\omega) = n_1 + b_1 + c_1$ and $t(\omega) = n_1 + b_1 - 1$.
(3) For every $\omega \in E_1$, the construction of ω and the criterion of self-admissibility and non-recurrence ensure that the word (ω, u) is self-admissible and non-recurrent for every $u \in U_n (n \geq 1)$.

Thus, by the analysis of the words in E_1, we define:

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \bigcup_{\varepsilon^{(1)} \in E_1} I^P_{2n_1 + 2b_1 + c_1 - 1}(\varepsilon^{(1)}).$$

Let $m_1 = 2n_1 + 2b_1 + c_1 - 1$.

Step III The kth generation from the $k-1$th generation of E

Suppose that the $k-1$th generation of E has been well defined, we write it as E_{k-1}. Moreover, the elements in E_{k-1} can concatenated with any word in U_n to be a self-admissible and non-recurrent word. We give the construction of the kth generation of E.

Denote m_{k-1} as the length of $\varepsilon^{(k-1)} \in E_{k-1}$. Choose an integer $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_k \gg m_{k-1}$, write $a_k = n_k - m_{k-1}$, then we collect a family of self-admissible and non-recurrent words beginning with $\varepsilon^{(k-1)}$:

$$A(\varepsilon^{(k-1)}) = \left\{(\varepsilon^{(k-1)}, u) : u \in U_{a_k}\right\}.$$

After that, let

$$b_k = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\zeta}\frac{\delta-1}{\delta} n_k \right\rfloor + 1 \geq 1, \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$c_k = \left\lfloor (\frac{1}{\zeta}\frac{2-\delta}{\delta} - 2) n_k \right\rfloor + 1 \geq 1. \tag{4.17}$$

The second inequality (4.17) is ensured by the choice of ζ, then we define the kth generation of E:

$$E_k = \left\{\varepsilon^{(k)} = (v, 0^{b_k-1}, N, u', v, 0^{b_k-1}) : v \in A(\varepsilon^{(k-1)}), u' \in U_{c_k}\right\}.$$

We now give some simple observation on the elements in E_k.

Remark 3 *(1) For any $\omega \in E_k$, by the criterion of self-admissibility and the choice of elements in U_n, we easily get that ω is self-admissible.*
(2) For any $\omega \in E_k$, recall the definition of $\tau(\omega)$ (3.4) and $t(\omega)$ (3.5), the construction of E_k implies that $\tau(\omega) = n_k + b_k + c_k$ and $t(\omega) = n_k + b_k - 1$.
(3) For any $\omega \in E_k$,the construction of ω and the criterion of self-admissibility and non-recurrence ensure that the word (ω, u) is self-admissible and non-recurrent for every $u \in U_n (n \geq 1)$.

Thus, by the analysis of the words in E_k, let

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \bigcup_{\varepsilon^{(k)} \in E_k} I^P_{2n_k+2b_k+c_k-1}\left(\varepsilon^{(k)}\right).$$

Let $m_k = 2n_k + 2b_k + c_k - 1$. Repeating the procedure mentioned above, we obtain a nested sequence $\{\mathcal{E}_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ which is composed by the cylinders in parameter space. We finally get the Cantor set which is defined as

$$E = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\varepsilon^{(k)} \in E_k} I^P_{|\varepsilon^{(k)}|}\left(\varepsilon^{(k)}\right) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\varepsilon^{(k)} \in E_k} I^P_{2n_k+2b_k+c_k-1}\left(\varepsilon^{(k)}\right).$$

Let $C := \frac{(N-1)^2}{N}$, we get that for every $\beta \in E$ and $n \geq 1$, we have $N < \underline{\beta}_n \leq N+1$, so $C_n(\beta) = \frac{(\underline{\beta}_n - 1)^2}{\underline{\beta}_n} \geq C$. Now we show that E is the subset of I^P_δ as the following result:

Lemma 4.3 *$E \subset F^P_\delta$.*

Proof. For every $\beta \in E$. Let $m_k = 2n_k + 2b_k + c_k - 1$, by the construction of E, there exists $\varepsilon^{(k)} \in E_k$ such that $\varepsilon(1,\beta)|_{m_k} = \varepsilon^{(k)}$. Now we estimate the length of $I^P_n(\beta)$ when $m_{k-1} < n \leq m_k$ and give the upper density of β, we distinguish four cases for discussion.

(1) When $m_{k-1} < n \le n_k + b_k + c_k$ and $n \ne n_k + b_k$, by the construction of E_k, recall the definition of $\tau_n(\beta)$ (3.6), we get that $\tau_n(\beta) = n$, so Lemma 3.11 gives that

$$|I_n^P(\beta)| \ge C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}_n^{-n} \ge C\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}. \tag{4.18}$$

Consequently, we get that

$$\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n^P(\beta)|}{n} \le -\frac{\log C}{n} + \frac{\log \overline{\beta}_n}{\log \beta} \to 1$$

as $k \to \infty$.

(2) When $n = n_k + b_k$, we have $\tau_n(\beta) = n - 1$, $t_n(\beta) = 1$, so $\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+1} = N \ge 1$, by Lemma 3.11, we have

$$|I_n^P(\beta)| \ge C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+1}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{(\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta))}}\right) \ge C\overline{\beta}_n^{-n-1}. \tag{4.19}$$

This indicates that

$$\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n^P(\beta)|}{n} \le -\frac{\log C}{n} + \frac{(n+1)\log \overline{\beta}_n}{n\log \beta} \to 1$$

as $k \to \infty$.

(3) When $n_k + b_k + c_k \le n < 2n_k + b_k + c_k$, by the construction of E_k, recall the definition of $\tau_n(\beta)$ (3.6) and $t_n(\beta)$ (3.7), we get that $\tau_n(\beta) = n_k + b_k + c_k$ and $0 \le t_n(\beta) = n - (n_k + b_k + c_k) \le n_k - 1$, so we have $\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+1} \ge 1$ when $m_{k-1} \le n \le n_{k-1}$ and $\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+m_{k-1}} \ge 1$ when $0 \le n \le m_{k-1}$, we immediate get by Lemma 3.11 that

$$|I_n^P(\beta)| \ge C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+1}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{(\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta))}}\right) \ge C\overline{\beta}_n^{-n-m_{k-1}}. \tag{4.20}$$

Similar to the case (2), we get that

$$\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n^P(\beta)|}{n} \le -\frac{\log C}{n} + \frac{(n+m_{k-1})\log \overline{\beta}_n}{n\log \beta} \to 1$$

as $k \to \infty$.

(4) When $2n_k + b_k + c_k \le n \le 2n_k + 2b_k + c_k - 1 = m_k$, by the construction of E_k, we get that $\tau_n(\beta) = n_k + b_k + c_k$ and $t_n(\beta) = n - (n_k + b_k + c_k)$, thus, Lemma 3.11 indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_n^P(\beta)| &\ge C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\left(\tfrac{\varepsilon_{t_n(\beta)+1}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \tfrac{\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{(\tau_n(\beta)-t_n(\beta))}}\right) \\ &\ge C_n(\beta)\overline{\beta}_n^{-n}\tfrac{1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{n_k+b_k+c_k-(n-n_k-b_k-c_k)}} \\ &\ge C\overline{\beta}_n^{-(2n_k+2b_k+c_k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Consequently, the above inequality combines with (4.16), (4.17) gives that

$$\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n^P(\beta)|}{n} \le -\frac{\log C}{n} + \frac{(2n_k + 2b_k + c_k)\log \overline{\beta}_n}{(2n_k + b_k + c_k)\log \beta} \to \delta$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Now we find a subsequence of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that its upper density reach to δ. In fact, let $\ell_k = 2n_k + b_k + c_k$, when $n = \ell_k$, we have $\tau_n(\beta) = n_k + b_k + c_k$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8 that:

$$1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1(1,\beta)}{\underline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(1,\beta)}{\underline{\beta}_n^n},$$

and

$$1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_{\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n^{\tau_n(\beta)}} = \frac{\varepsilon_1(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n^n} + \frac{\varepsilon_{2n_k+2b_k+c_k}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n^{2n_k+2b_k+c_k}} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_{2\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{2\tau_n(\beta)}}.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_n^P(\beta)| &= \overline{\beta}_n - \underline{\beta}_n \\
&= \left(\varepsilon_1(1,\beta) + \cdots + \tfrac{\varepsilon_n(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n^{n-1}} + \tfrac{\varepsilon_{2n_k+2b_k+c_k}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n^{2n_k+2b_k+c_k-1}} + \cdots + \tfrac{\varepsilon_{2\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{2\tau_n(\beta)-1}}\right) - \left(\varepsilon_1(1,\beta) + \cdots + \tfrac{\varepsilon_n(1,\beta)}{\underline{\beta}_n^{n-1}}\right) \\
&\le \tfrac{\varepsilon_{2n_k+2b_k+c_k}(1,\beta)}{\overline{\beta}_n^{2n_k+2b_k+c_k-1}} + \cdots + \tfrac{\varepsilon_{2\tau_n(\beta)}(1,\beta)+1}{\overline{\beta}_n^{2\tau_n(\beta)-1}} \\
&\le \tfrac{N}{\overline{\beta}_n^{2n_k+2b_k+c_k-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{\ell_k}^P(\beta)|}{\ell_k} \ge \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log N + (2n_k + 2b_k + c_k - 1)\log \overline{\beta}_n}{(2n_k + b_k + c_k)\log \beta} = \delta.$$

From the discussion above, we get that $\beta \in F_\delta^P$. The desired conclusion follows. □

4.3.2 Mass distribution supported on E

In this section, we mainly define a measure supported on E. Such a measure is given according to the cylinders which have non-empty intersection with E. For all $\beta \in E$, let $\{I_n^P(\beta)\}_{n\ge1}$ be the cylinders which contain β and denote

$$\varepsilon(1,\beta) = (\varepsilon^{(k-1)}, u_1, \ldots, u_{a_k}, 0^{b_k-1}, N, u_1', \ldots, u_{c_k}, \varepsilon^{(k-1)}, u_1, \ldots, u_{a_k}, 0^{b_k-1}, \ldots),$$

Note that the order of $\varepsilon^{(k-1)}$ is $m_k := 2n_k + 2b_k + c_k - 1$ where $m_0 = 2$. Define

$$\mu(I_2^P(\varepsilon^{(0)})) = \mu(I_2^P(N,N)) = 1.$$

For all $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, let

$$\mu(I_n^P(\beta)) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{(N-1)^{n-m_{k-1}}} \mu\left(I_{m_{k-1}}^P(\varepsilon^{(k-1)})\right), & \text{when } \ m_{k-1} < n \leq n_k; \\ \mu\left(I_{m_{k-1}}^P(\varepsilon^{(k-1)}, u_1, \ldots, u_{a_k})\right), & \text{when } \ n_k < n \leq n_k + b_k; \\ \dfrac{1}{(N-1)^{n-n_k-b_k}} \mu\left(I_{n_k}^P(\varepsilon^{(k-1)}, u_1, \ldots, u_{a_k}, 0^{b_k-1}, N)\right), & \text{when } \ n_k + b_k < n \leq n_k + b_k + c_k; \\ \mu\left(I_{n_k+b_k+c_k}^P(\varepsilon^{(k-1)}, u_1, \ldots, u_{a_k}, 0^{b_k-1}, N, u_1', \ldots, u_{c_k}')\right), & \text{when } \ n_k + b_k + c_k < n \leq m_k; \end{cases}$$

More precisely,

$$\mu(I_n^P(\beta)) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{(N-1)^{n-m_{k-1}+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)}}, & \text{when } \ m_{k-1} < n \leq n_k; \\ \dfrac{1}{(N-1)^{a_k+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)}}, & \text{when } \ n_k < n \leq n_k + b_k; \\ \dfrac{1}{(N-1)^{a_k+n-n_k-b_k+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)}}, & \text{when } \ n_k + b_k < n \leq n_k + b_k + c_k; \\ \dfrac{1}{(N-1)^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}(a_i+c_i)}}, & \text{when } \ n_k + b_k + c_k < n \leq m_k; \end{cases}$$

By the definition of μ supported on E. We directly get the following result which will serve as a key point to estimate the lower bound of the lower limit of $\frac{\log \mu(B(\beta,r))}{\log r}$ where $B(\beta, r)$ is a arbitrarily small ball with non-empty intersection with E.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\beta \in E$, then we have*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu\left(I_n^P(\beta)\right)}{\log |I_{n+1}^P(\beta)|} \geq \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)} \left(\frac{2-\delta}{\delta} - \zeta\right).$$

Proof. In order to get the result, we only need to estimate the value of $\frac{\log \mu\left(I_n^P(\beta)\right)}{\log |I_{n+1}^P(\beta)|}$ when $m_{k-1} \leq n < m_k$, where $m_k = 2n_k + 2b_k + c_k - 1$. This is discussed by four cases.

(1) When $m_{k-1} \le n < n_k$, by (4.18), then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\log \mu\big(I_n^P(\beta)\big)}{\log |I_{n+1}^P(\beta)|} &\ge \frac{\left(n-m_{k-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+n\log\overline{\beta}_n} \\
&\ge \frac{\left(n-m_{k-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+n\log(N+1)} \\
&\ge \frac{\left(m_{k-1}-m_{k-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+m_{k-1}\log(N+1)} \\
&\to \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\left(\frac{2-\delta}{\delta}-\zeta\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$, and the last inequality is ensured by the increasing of the function $f(n) = \dfrac{\left(n-m_{k-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+n\log(N+1)}$.
(2) When $n_k \le n < n_k + b_k$, it follows from (4.18) and (4.19) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\log \mu\big(I_n^P(\beta)\big)}{\log |I_{n+1}^P(\beta)|} &\ge \frac{\left(a_k+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+(n+1)\log\overline{\beta}_n} \\
&\ge \frac{\left(a_k+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+(n_k+b_k+1)\log(N+1)} \\
&\to \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\frac{\zeta\delta}{\zeta\delta+\delta-1} \ge \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\frac{2-\delta}{\delta},
\end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$, where the last inequality is guaranteed by the choice of ζ.
(3) When $n_k + b_k \le n < n_k + b_k + c_k$, similarly, by (4.18), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\log \mu\big(I_n^P(\beta)\big)}{\log |I_{n+1}^P(\beta)|} &\ge \frac{\left(a_k+n-n_k-b_k+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+n\log\overline{\beta}_n} \\
&\ge \frac{\left(a_k+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+(n_k+b_k)\log(N+1)} \\
&\to \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\frac{\zeta\delta}{\zeta\delta+\delta-1} \ge \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\frac{2-\delta}{\delta},
\end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$.
(4) When $n_k + b_k + c_k \le n < m_k$, then (4.20) and (4.21) give that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\log \mu\big(I_n^P(\beta)\big)}{\log |I_{n+1}^P(\beta)|} &\ge \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+(2n_k+2b_k+c_k)\log\overline{\beta}_n} \\
&\ge \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(a_i+c_i)\right)\log(N-1)}{-\log C+(2n_k+2b_k+c_k)\log(N+1)} \\
&\to \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\left(\frac{2-\delta}{\delta}-\zeta\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$.

From what has been discussed, we have $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu\left(I_n^P(\beta)\right)}{\log |I_{n+1}^P(\beta)|} \geq \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)} \left(\frac{2-\delta}{\delta} - \zeta\right)$. □

4.3.3 Measure of Balls

Now we give an estimation on the measure of arbitrary balls $B(\beta, r)$ with non-empty intersection with E and r is small enough. Before doing that, we first refine the cylinders containing some $\beta \in E$. For any $\beta \in E$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$J_n(\beta) = \begin{cases} I_n^P(\beta), & \text{when } \ m_{k-1} < n \leq n_k \ \text{ for some k} \geq 1; \\ I_{n_k}^P(\beta), & \text{when } \ n_k < n \leq n + b_k \ \text{ for some k} \geq 1; \\ I_n^P(\beta), & \text{when } \ n_k + b_k < n \leq n_k + b_k + c_k \ \text{ for some k} \geq 1; \\ I_{n_k+b_k+c_k}^P(\beta), & \text{when } \ n_k + b_k + c_k < n \leq m_k \ \text{ for some k} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $B(\beta, r)$ be a ball with non-empty intersection with E and r be small enough. Assume that n is an integer satisfies

$$|J_{n+1}(\beta)| \leq r < |J_n(\beta)|.$$

Then there exists a k such that $n = m_{k-1} \leq n < m_k$. Since the lengths of $J_n(\beta)$ and $J_{n+1}(\beta)$ are different, we get that

$$m_{k-1} = 2n_{k-1} + 2b_{k-1} + c_{k-1} < n \leq n_k \text{ or } n_k + b_k < n \leq n_k + b_k + c_k. \tag{4.22}$$

An important point we should notice is that

$$\mu(J_n(\beta)) = \mu(I_n^P(\beta)),$$

for every $n \geq 1$. This means that all basic intervals J_n have the same order are of equal μ-measure. Hence, in order to bound the measure of the ball $B(\beta, r)$ given above, now we estimate the number $\mathcal{N}$ of the basic intervals with non-empty intersection with the ball $B(\beta, r)$. Note that by (4.18), for all n satisfying (4.22), we have $|J_n(\beta)| \geq C\overline{\beta}_n^{-n} \geq C(N+1)^{-n}$. Since $r \leq |J_n(\beta)| \leq \overline{\beta}_n^{-n+1} \leq N^{-n+1}$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{N} \leq \frac{2r}{C(N+1)^{-n}} + 2 \leq \frac{2N^{-n+1}}{C(N+1)^{-n}} + 2 \leq C_1 N^{-n}(N+1)^n.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\mu(B(\beta, r)) \leq C_1 N^{-n}(N+1)^n \mu(I_n^P(\beta)). \tag{4.23}$$

To estimate the lower limit of $\frac{\log \mu(B(x,r))}{\log r}$, the next step is giving a lower bound for r. As a matter of fact, when n satisfies $m_{k-1} = 2n_{k-1} + 2b_{k-1} + c_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$ or $n_k + b_k < n \leq n_k + b_k + c_k - 1$,

by the construction of E, we have

$$r \geq |J_{n+1}(\beta)| \geq C\overline{\beta}_n^{-n-1} \geq C(N+1)^{-n-1}. \tag{4.24}$$

When $n = n_k + b_k + c_k - 1$, we get

$$r \geq |J_{n+1}(\beta)| \geq C\overline{\beta}_n^{-2n_k-2b_k-c_k} \geq C(N+1)^{-2n_k-2b_k-c_k}. \tag{4.25}$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.4 and the above inequalities(4.23) (4.24) (4.25), we have

$$\liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(\beta, r))}{\log r} \geq \left(\frac{\log N - \log(N+1)}{\log(N+1)} + \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\right)\left(\frac{2-\delta}{\delta} - \zeta\right).$$

Finally, the mass distribution principle(Lemma 4.2) gives that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E \geq \left(\frac{\log N - \log(N+1)}{\log(N+1)} + \frac{\log(N-1)}{\log(N+1)}\right)\left(\frac{2-\delta}{\delta} - \zeta\right).$$

Let $\zeta \to 0$ and then $N \to \infty$, we obtain that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E \geq \frac{2-\delta}{\delta}.$$

References

[1] F. Blanchard, *β-expansions and symbolic dynamics*, Theoret. Comput. Sci 65 (1989), no. 2, 131-141.

[2] Y. Bugeaud and B.-W. Wang, *Distribution of full cylinders and the Diophantine properties of the orbits in β-expansions*, J. Fractal Geom. 1 (2014), no. 2, 221-241.

[3] K.J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1990.

[4] A.-H. Fan and B.-W. Wang, *On the lengths of basic intervals in beta expansions*, Nonlinearity 25 (2012), no. 5, 1329-1343.

[5] B. Li, T. Persson, B.-W. Wang and J. Wu, *Diophantine approximation of the orbit of* 1 *in the dynamical system of beta expansion*, Math. Z. 276 (2014), no.3-4, 799-827.

[6] B. Li and J. Wu, *Beta-expansion and continued fraction expansion*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339 (2008), no. 2, 1322-1331.

[7] F. Lü and J. Wu, *Diophantine analysis in beta-dynamical systems and Huasdorff dimension*, Adv. Math. 290 (2016), 919-937.

[8] M.-Y. Lü, B.-W. Wang and J. Xu *On sums of degrees of the partial quotients in continued fraction expansions of Laurent series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 380 (2011), no. 2, 807-813.

[9] W. Parry, *On the β-expansions of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 11 (1960), 401-416.

[10] T. Persson and J. Schmeling, *Dyadic Diophantine approximation and Katok's horseshoe approximation*, Acta Arith. 132 (2008), no. 3, 205-230.

[11] A. Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 8 (1957), 477-93.

[12] J. Schmeling, *Symbolic dynamics for the β-shifts and self-normal numbers*, Ergod. Thory Dyn. Syst. 17 (1997), 675-694.

[13] D. Thompson, *Irregular sets, the β-transformation and the almost specification property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 10, 5395-5414.